

Collective dynamics in small systems


Piotr Bożek

AGH University of Science and Technology, Kraków




Flow in p-Pb is expected

- ▶ multiplicity as in peripheral A-A → large energy density
- ▶ sQGP observed in A-A
- ▶ thermal models → stage close to local equilibrium
- ▶ $\eta/s = 0.08 \leftrightarrow$ mean free path $\simeq 0.2 - 0.3\text{fm} \ll$ size of the system
- ▶ large eccentricity



1) Elliptic and triangular flow observed in p-Pb

- Glauber MC initial cond.
- agreement with data




PB, W.Broniowski, G. Torrieri arXiv:1306.5442; G.Y. Qin, B. Müller 1306.3439; I. Kozlov et al. 1405.3976; A. Bzdak et al. 1304.34003, K. Kawaguchi et al. Poster 206

- ▶ v_2, v_3 consistent with hydro (Glauber MC, EPOS3)
- ▶ sensitive probe of init. cond.


$v_{2,3}$ - hydro response to initial deformation !

- EPOS3 - agreement with data



K. Werner et al. 1307.4379

- IP-Glasma initial cond. - small v_2, v_3 !




B. Schenke, R. Venugopalan 1405.3605


2) Flow from higher cumulants

- hierarchy of cumulants

$$\epsilon_2\{4\} \simeq \epsilon_2\{6\} \simeq \epsilon_2\{8\} < \epsilon_2\{2\} \rightarrow \text{hydro response} \rightarrow v_2\{4\} \simeq v_2\{6\} \simeq v_2\{8\} < v_2\{2\}$$



A. Bzdak, PB, L. McLerran, 1311.7325



CMS 1502.05382


- detailed hierarchy of cumulants - consistent with data

universal prediction for differences $v_2\{4\} \neq v_2\{6\} \neq v_2\{8\}$

L. Yan, J.Y. Ollitrault 1312.6555

$v_2\{n\}$ - hydro response to fluctuations of initial shape !

$v_2\{4\}$ and $v_2\{2\}$ - hydro calculation



PB, W. Broniowski 1304.3044


hierarchy $v_2\{2\} > v_2\{4\} > 0$ confirmed in full hydro calculation

also: I. Kozlov et al. 1412.3147

Note: $\epsilon_n + \text{hydro response} \rightarrow$ correct centrality dependence of v_n


Small system with large deformation

- deuteron projectile
intrinsic deformation dominates over
fluctuations → large v_2



PB 1112.0915


- ^3He projectile
larger triangular flow




Nagle et al. arXiv:1312.4565

central collisions - deformed fireball, **control of initial geometry**

3) Elliptic and triangular flow in d-Au, ${}^3\text{He}$ -Au and p-Au (QM15)



PHENIX, arXiv:1303.1794



PHENIX, arXiv:1507.06273




- ▶ observed $v_3 \rightarrow$ collectivity
- ▶ hierarchy of v_2 and v_3 consistent with collective response on fireball geometry

hydrodynamic calculations reproduce the data

sensitivity to details, limits of applicability of hydro - systematic model uncertainty

large eccentricity - large flow component
collective response to geometry

4) Interferometry radii




- k_{\perp} dependence of $R_{o,I,s}$
 R_{side}, R_{out} consistent with hydro
- similar results for d-Au

right magnitude and k_{\perp} dependence of HBT radii support collective scenario



HBT: p-Pb in between p-p and Pb-Pb - **as predicted by hydro**

5a) Factorization at intermediate p_{\perp}



- factorization holds for v_2 and v_3 up to 3 GeV
- small deviations explained by hydro+Glauber Kozlov, Luzum, Denicol, Jeon, Gale, 1405.3976
- geometry driven (???) origin of correlations at small **and** intermediate p_{\perp}


5b) Correlations at large $\Delta\eta$ (Ridge)



PB, Broniowski 1211.0845

- factorization holds for large $\Delta\eta$
- geometry driven (??) origin of correlations at central, forward and backward rapidities
- similar mechanism in AMPT: Ma, Bzdak-arXiv: 1404.4129, Koop, Adare, Nagle-arXiv: 1501.06880



6) Mass splitting of ν_2



PB, Broniowski, Torrieri, 1307.5060

Werner, Bleicher, Guiot, Karpenko, Pierog, 1307.4379

7) Mass hierarchy of $\langle p_{\perp} \rangle$




PB, W.Broniowski, G. Torrieri arXiv:1306.5442

larger $\langle p_{\perp} \rangle$ in smaller systems

Bzdak, Skokov, arXiv:1306.5442

Hydrodynamics in small systems?

Hydrodynamics $K < 1$




H. Niemi, G. Denicol 1404.7327

large gradients in the evolution

higher order corrections,


effective viscosity reduced

1. Early stage, pressure asymmetry $P_L \ll P_{\perp}$





PB, I. Wyskiel-Piekarska 1011.6210; J. Vredevoogt, S. Pratt 0810.4325
early pressure asymmetry - irrelevant

2. Late stage, decoupling at freeze-out




A.Bzdak, G.L. Ma 1404.4129; L. He et al. 1502.05572
hydrodynamics similar to AMPT cascade

Collective flow in pp?




Collective like correlations observed in pp

V_n in optical Glauber + hydro(response)





D'Enterria et al, 0910.3029



Habich et al. 1512.05354

- ▶ D'Enterria et al., 2009 ; hydro response, $v_2 \simeq 1\%$, wrong centrality dependence
- ▶ Luzumu, Romatschke, 2010 ; viscous hydro, $v_2 < 2\%$ wrong centrality dependence
- ▶ Habich et al. 2015, ; viscous hydro, $v_2 \simeq 3 - 4\%$, wrong centrality dependence

v_n in fluctuating source + hydro(response)(...)



Avsar, Flensburg, Hatta, Ollitrault, Ueda, 1009.5643


Werner, Karpenko, Pierog, 1011.0375

- ▶ Casalderrey-Solana, Wiedemann, 2010, Avsar et al. 2010, hot-spots (DIPSY) + hydro response, $v_2 \simeq 6\%$, **correct centrality dependence**
- ▶ Werner et al., 2010, EPOS + hydro , ridge
- ▶ Deng et al. 2011, hot spots + parton cascade (BAMPS), large $v_2 \geq 5\%$, $v_3 \geq 1\%$
- ▶ Bzdak et al. 2013, IP-Glasma+Hydro $v_2 \simeq 2\%$
- ▶ Ma, Bzdak, 2014, AMPT, ridge (semi-quantitative)

Observed v_n in pp are not in opposition to collective scenario

Stronger flow in p-p ?


Interferometry



Y. Hirono, E. Shuryak 1412.0063

stronger transverse flow in p-p !

Spectra



K. Werner et al 1312.1233,



T. Kalaydzhyan, E. Shuryak 1503.05213

Hardening of spectra for high multiplicity events

but: quantitative predictions for flow asymmetry
less robust in p-p, no smoking gun

flow in small systems - what can we learn

1. onset of collectivity




P. Romatschke 1502.02529

sensitivity to preequilibrium flow

- no reason to expect sudden turn off of flow
- decisive between AMPT and hydro? (spectra and v_n)

2. mapping of space time distribution by flow





PB, W. Broniowski 1506.04362

sensitivity to fluctuations in energy deposition
event-plane decorrelation in p-Pb indicates the presence of
longitudinal fluctuations - random flux tubes

flow in small systems - what can we learn

3. what flows?

medium probes in small systems: photons, jets, heavy flavors, balance functions



direct photons: S. Shen et al. 1504.07989

SIGNS OF COLLECTIVITY IN SMALL SYSTEMS

1. Elliptic and triangular flow
2. Flow from higher cumulants
all particles flow
3. Hierarchy of v_2 and v_3 in p-A, d-A, He-A
collective response to geometry (final state effect)

+ ...

- ▶ Density driven collective expansion
- ▶ Hydrodynamics describes data for $p_T < 1.5 \text{ GeV}$
- ▶ Collectivity \neq QGP ??
- ▶ Minimal system size for collectivity or deconfinement?