Temperature effects on superfluid phase transition in Bose-Hubbard model with three-body interaction

Introduction

In optical lattices the behaviour of contained atoms is governed mainly by two-body interactions. However, there are experimental indications that also three-body interactions should be taken into account [1, 2]. In this work we present the finite temperature phase diagram of strongly interacting bosonic atoms in the framework of the Bose-Hubbard model and study its dependence on the three-body interactions strength. In the calculations we used the mean-field approximation and the resolvent method, which is based on the contour integral representation of the partition function [3].

The model

To describe an ultracold gas of bosons in an optical lattice, the Bose-Hubbard model, which successfully captures Mott-insulator–superfluid phase transition [4], is utilized. The Hamiltonian in second-quantized form is given by:

\[ \hat{H}(\beta) = J \sum_{\langle i, j \rangle} \hat{a}_i \hat{a}_j^\dagger + U \sum_i \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_i + \mu \sum_i \hat{n}_i, \]

where:
- \( \hat{a}_i \) and \( \hat{a}_i^\dagger \) are bosonic creation and annihilation operators at the \( i \)-th site of the lattice;
- \( \hat{n}_i = \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i \) is the particle number operator;
- \( J \) is the hopping matrix element;
- \( \mu \) is the chemical potential.

The summation index \( i \) runs from 1 to \( N \) - the number of the lattice sites. The \( \mu \)-term contains two- and three-body interactions, and is given by:

\[ \mu = U \sum_i \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_i - W \sum_{i,j} \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j - (1 - 2) \sum_i \hat{n}_i. \]

The model is the partition function of the unperturbed Hamiltonian with energy levels given by

\[ E_n = \frac{J}{2} (n - 1) + \frac{U}{6} (n - 1)(n - 2) - \mu n. \]

Due to its complexity, we do not write the fourth order term explicitly. In the resolvent method the calculation of \( \hat{Z} \) in terms of the partition function expansion is divided into two stages:

- Calculation of the trace
- Calculation of the contour integral

Both steps do not require advanced computations, which make the resolvent method very efficient. The detailed calculations can be found in [5].

Phase Diagram

In order find the finite temperature phase diagram of the corresponding system, one needs to calculate the free energy \( f = -1/\beta \ln \hat{Z} \). The expansion of the free energy up to the fourth order of the order parameter has the form:

\[ f = f_0 + \left( \frac{J_z}{2} - \frac{U}{6} \right) \hat{n}_B^2 + \left( \frac{W}{6} - \frac{U}{3} \right) \hat{n}_B^3. \]

The contour of the integration \( i \) surrounds all singularities of the resolvent. In our case this expansion is of the form:

\[ \hat{Z} = e^{-i f \hat{Z}} \sum_{\beta Z} e^{-i \beta Z} \hat{Z}. \]

The above equation divided by the two-body interaction strength \( U \) defines a hyper-surface in the space of the following parameters:

- The reduced temperature \( \beta U \)
- The dimensionless hopping term \( J/\mu \)
- The three-body interaction strength \( W/\mu \)

Figure 1 contains the plot of the critical surface for a fixed value of the parameter \( W/\mu \). As one can see, the finite temperature dilutes the Mott lobes and diminishes the superfluid phase. Figure 2 presents the evolution of the insulating Mott lobes for increasing temperature and various choices of the three-body interaction strength \( W/\mu \). As it increases, the subsequent Mott lobes widen.

Conclusions

We have investigated the effect of the three-body interactions on the Bose-Hubbard model using both the mean field approach on the on-site hopping term and the resolvent method – which turned out to be very efficient method for calculation of the partition function. Subsequently we have found the phase diagram and depicted its dependence on various parameters of interest.
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